



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
. 09/446,981	12/30/1999	MASATO HUMA	991504	3229
23850 7	590 03/17/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP			TRAN, THANG V	
1725 K STREE SUITE 1000	EI, NW		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		2653	23
			DATE MAILED: 03/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<i>*</i>	_		ARG			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/446,981	HUMA, MASATO				
	Examiner	Art Unit	•			
	Thang V. Tran	2653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence addres	is			
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a second transport of the second transpor) a timely filed amendment whic	ation. A proper reply to h places the application	n in			
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 4 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Officimely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TI date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main and the shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months after the main shortened statutory period for reply ce later than three months are the same statutory period for reply ce later than three months are the same statutory period for the same statutory period for reply ce later than three months are the same statutory period for the same statutory period for reply ce later than three months are the same statutory period for the same	ng date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. Se FR 1.136(a) and the appropriount of the fee. The approprioriginally set in the final Offi	e MPEP ate extension riate extension ice action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:					
(a) Method they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simple	lifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ing a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed am	nendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: <u>it is</u>			lace the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were n	ewly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			l an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: <u>11-15 and 29-32</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 37 and 38.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). ₋	·•				
10. Other:	S	Thang/V. Tran Primary Examiner				
		Art Unit: 2653				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 2. NOTE: Newly amended claim 37 and newly added claim 39 raise new issues that would change the scope of the invention previously claimed and prosecuted and would require further consideration and search.